

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/901,560	07/09/2001	Jeremy A. Kenyon	41018.P013	4808	
25943	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
	E, WILLIAMSON & WYA	nguyen ba, hoang vu a			
	CENTER, SUITES 1600-190 FTH AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PORTLAND, OR 97204			2192		
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/901,5	60	KENYON, JEREMY A.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	7	Art Unit					
		•	ı A Nguyen-Ba	2122					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE WAY OF THE WA	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. 1ys, a reply within the states of the period will apply and will state of the apply statute, cause the apply statute.	ent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communicat ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.				
Status			•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>09 July 2001</u> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.							
10)⊠	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have bee cuments have bee he priority docum	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv	ion No					
. * (See the attached detailed Office action fo		, , , ,	ed.					
∧ 				•					
Attachmen	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)					
	ce of Preferences Cited (P10-692) Se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/27/02</u> .	D/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filed July 09, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-36 have been examined.

Priority

3. The priority date considered for this application is July 09, 2001.

Oath/Declaration

4. The Office acknowledges receipt of the properly signed Declaration filed January 9, 2002.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The Office acknowledges receipt of the Information Disclosure Statement filed December 27, 2002. It has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered.

Drawings

6. The drawings filed July 09, 2001 is accepted by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2122

8. Claims 5, 22-30 and 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "one or more added sub-menu items" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 22 (line 9), 23 (line 2), 25 (line 11), 26 (line 2), 28 (line 11), 29 (line 2), 30 (line 9), 32 (line 2), 33 (line 11), 34 (line 2), 35 (line 11) and 36 (line 2) recite the limitation "the sub-menu items." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear as to which sub-menu items (first, second or both sub-menu items) this limitation refers to.

Claims 24, 27 and 30 recite the limitation "said resource" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear as to which resource (first or second) this limitation refers to.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent,
- except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language;

Art Unit: 2122

10. Claims 1-6 and 8-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,314,570 to Tanigawa et al. ("Tanigawa").

Claims 1 and 14

Tanigawa discloses at least:

receiving from a remote server a distribution collection of sub-menu items for a menu item (see at least Figure 27; steps S2702, S2704, S2706, S2712, S2728, S2736, S2752, S2754; and related discussion in the specification);

determining whether one or more of the sub-menu items of said distribution collection are not part of an operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item (see at least Figure 27; steps S2754, S2756; and related discussion in the specification);

updating the operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item (see at least Figure 27, steps 2756, S2758; and related discussion in the specification); and

changing an operational visual representation of the menu item from a first state to a second state to corney to a user of the dient device that new sub-menu items have been added to the operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item (see at least Figure 27, transition from \$2758 to \$2702; and related discussion in the specification).

Claims 2 and 15

Rejections of base claims 1 and 14 are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein said updating of the operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item comprises adding said one or more of the sub-menu items of said distribution collection that are not part of said operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item to the operational collection of subs menu items of the menu item (see at least Figure 27, steps 2756, S2758; and related discussion in the specification).

Art Unit: 2122

Page 5

Claims 3 and 16

Rejections of base claims 1 and 14 are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein said first state of the operational visual representation of the menu item comprises a description of the menu item, and said changing of the operational visual representation of the menu item from the first state to the second state comprises changing said operational visual representation of the menu item comprising said description of the menu item to an operational visual representation of the menu item comprising said description of the menu item annotated with an indication that one or more sub-menu items have been added to the operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item (see at least Figure 25 and related discussion in the specification).

Claims 4 and 17

Rejections of base claims 1 and 14 are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein the method further comprises responsive to an occurrence of a predetermined condition, changing said operational visual representation of the menu item from said second state back to said first state (see at least Figure 27, transition from S2758 to S2702; and related discussion in the specification).

Claim 5

Rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 4 are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein said predetermined condition comprises a user having selected a predetermined number of the one or more added sub-menu items (see at least 23:39-50).

Claims 6 and 18

Rejections of base claims 1 and 14 respectively are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein said menu item corresponds to a family of program products, said

Art Unit: 2122

sub-menu items correspond to program products of the family, and said one or more sub-menu items that are not part of the operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item correspond to newly available program products of the family or newly available versions of the program products of the family (see at least Figures 12, 13, 14 and related discussion in the specification).

Claims 8 and 19

Rejections of base claims 1 and 14 are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein said menu item corresponds to a family of media contents, said sub-menu items correspond to media contents of the family, and said one or more sub-menu items that are not part of the operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item correspond to newly available media contents of the family or newly available versions of the media contents of the family (see at least Figures 15-18 and related discussion in the specification).

Claim 9

Rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 8 are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein the family of media contents is a bulletin board of information postings, and each of media contents is an information posting (see at least Figures 21-25 and related discussion in the specification).

Claims 10 and 20

Rejections of base claims 1 and 14 are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein said menu item corresponds to a family of documents, said sub-menu items correspond to documents of the family, and said one or more sub-menu items that are not part of the operational collection of sub-menu items of the menu item correspond to newly available documents of the family or newly available versions of the documents of the family (see at least Figures 10, 15-18 and related discussion in the specification).

Art Unit: 2122

Claims 11 and 21

Rejections of base claims 1 and 14 are incorporated. Tanigawa further discloses wherein one or more sub-menu items comprise locators identifying locations from which resources to which the one or sub-menu items correspond can be retrieved (see at least 1:22-51).

Claim 12

Rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 11 are incorporated.

Tanigawa further discloses wherein said locations are disposed within a local file subsystem, and the method further comprises receiving said resources into said locations of said file subsystem from a selected one of said remote server or an agent of said remote server (see at least 1:22-51).

Claim 13

Rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 11 are incorporated.

Tanigawa further discloses wherein said resources comprise selected ones of executable, text files and web pages (see at least 1:22-51).

Claims 22 25, 28, 31, 33 and 35

Tanigawa does not specifically disclose:

installing a first version of a first resource on an apparatus;

installing also on said apparatus a first sub-menu item corresponding to the first version of the first resource,

installing further on said apparatus an agent to facilitate subsequent installing on said apparatus a second sub-menu item corresponding to a selected one of a second version of the first resource and a third version of a second resource, and to facilitate modification of a visual representation of a menu item of said apparatus to which the sub-menu items are members, to draw an user's attention to said second sub-menu item. However, the installing steps of various

Application/Control Number: 09/901,560 Page 8

Art Unit: 2122

versions of the resources are deemed inherent to Tanigawa teachings which comprise an updating (note that the process of updating is inherently one of installing required by an updated version of resource) step shown as S2758 in Figure 27. If there is only one version of a resource, then there is no need for updating the display of resource data.

Claims 23, 26, 29, 32, 34 and 36

Rejections of base claims 22, 25, 28, 31, 33 and 4, respectively are incorporated. Since these claims recite the same features of claims 1 (i.e., the changing step) and 4, the same rejections are thus applied.

Claims 24, 27 and 30

Rejections of base claims 22 (and intervening claim 23), 25 and 28, respectively are incorporated. Since these claims recite the same feature of claim 13, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2122

12. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,314,570 to Tanigawa et al. ("Tanigawa"), as applied to claims 1 and 6, in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0080771 by Krumer.

Claim 7

Tanigawa does not specifically disclose wherein the family of product products is 3D computer games, and each of the program products is a 3D computer game. However, Krumer teaches a method of utilizing PLD-based network communication protocols for networking common entertainment equipment such as home video game systems (e.g., Sony Play StationTM) (see at least paragraph [0184]). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Krumer's teachings in Tanigawa because this would allow Tanigawa to generate and display operation menu for home video games.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Vu "Antony" Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-3701. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7:15 to 17:15.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2122

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ugus arlory dejujent -

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2192

March 15, 2005